1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	
3	IN THE MATTER OF: )
4	<pre>ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION ) On Its Own Motion, )</pre>
5	Implementation of the Federal ) Communications Commission's )
6	Triennial Review Order with )
7	respect to potential impairment ) determinations regarding unbundled)
8	<pre>local switching for enterprise ) market customers in specific )</pre>
9	markets. ) Chicago, Illinois
10	October 8th, 2003
11	
12	Met pursuant to notice at 2:30 p.m.
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	
16	MR. GLENNON DOLAN, Administrative Law Judge
17	
18	APPEARANCES:
19	CHERYL L. HAMILL
20	222 West Adams Street, Suite 1500 Chicago, Illinois 60606
21	Appearing for AT&T Communications;
22	

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	MR. MARK ORTLIEB and MS. LOUISE SUNDERLAND
3	225 West Randolph Street, Suite 25D Chicago, Illinois 60606
4	Appearing for SBC Illinois;
5	MR. DARRELL S. TOWNSLEY (Telephonically) 205 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100
6	Chicago, Illinois 60601  Appearing for WorldCom, Inc.,
7	d/b/a MCI;
8	MEYER CAPEL, PC, by MR. DENNIS K. MUNCY (Telephonically)
9	306 West Church Street Champaign, Illinois 61820
10	Appearing for Illinois Independent Telephone Association;
11	ROWLAND & MOORE, by
12	MR. THOMAS H. ROWLAND and MR. STEPHEN MOORE
13	77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 4600 Chicago, Illinois 60601
14	Appearing for Cimco Communications;
15	MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY, MR. CARMEN L. FOSCO, MR. MICHAEL L. LANNON, MR. SEAN R. BRADY and
16	MS. BRANDY D.B. BROWN 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
17	Chicago, Illinois 60601  Appearing for staff.
18	Appearing for Searr.
19	
20	
21	
22	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Barbara A. Perkovich, CSR

1		Ī	<u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>				
2	T.T	D :			Re-		
3	Witnesses: None.	Direct	cross	airec	t cros	<u>s</u> Juage	
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10		<u>E</u> <u>X</u> <u>H</u>	<u>I</u> <u>B</u> <u>I</u>	T S			
11	Number	For Ide	ntifica	tion	I	n Evide	nce
12	None.						
13							
1 4							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
2 0							
21							
22							

- 1 JUDGE DOLAN: By the power and authority of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I call Case
- No. 03-0594, Illinois Commerce Commission, On Its
- 4 Own Motion, for the implementation of the Federal
- 5 Communications Triennial Review Order with
- 6 respect to potential impairment determinations
- 7 regarding unbundled local switching for
- 8 enterprise market customers in specific markets
- 9 to order.
- 10 Will the parties please state their
- 11 names and addresses for the record.
- MR. FOSCO: Appearing on behalf of staff of the
- 13 Illinois Commerce Commission, Carmen L. Fosco,
- 14 Matthew L. Harvey, Michael J. Lannon, Sean R.
- Brady, and Brandy D.B. brown, 160 North LaSalle
- 16 Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 17 MR. ORTLIEB: Appearing on behalf of Illinois
- 18 Bell Telephone Company, Mark Ortlieb and Louise
- 19 Sunderland, 225 West Randolph, Suite 2500,
- 20 Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- MR. MOORE: Appearing on behalf of Cimco
- 22 Communications, Inc., Stephen Moore and Thomas

- 1 Rowland of the law firm of Rowland and Moore, 77
- West Wacker Drive, Suite 4600, Chicago, Illinois
- 3 60601.
- 4 MS. HAMILL: Appearing on behalf of AT&T
- 5 Communications of Illinois, Inc., Cheryl Hamill,
- 6 222 West Adams, Suite 2500, Chicago, Illinois
- 7 60606.
- 8 JUDGE DOLAN: Anybody else on the phone?
- 9 MR. NYCE: Appearing on behalf of the
- 10 Department of Defense and the Federal Executive
- 11 Agencies, Kevin Nyce, spelled N-y-c-e, Junior.
- 12 Regulatory Law Office, US Army Litigation Center,
- 901 North Stuart, spelled S-t-u-a-r-t, Street,
- 14 Suite 713, Arlington, Virginia 22203.
- MR. TOWNSLEY: Appearing on behalf of WorldCom,
- Inc., doing business as MCI, Darrell Townsley,
- D-a-r-r-e-l-l, T-o-w-n-s-l-e-y, 205 North
- 18 Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100, Chicago, Illinois
- 19 60601.
- MR. MUNCY: Dennis K. Muncy, 306 West Church
- 21 Street, Champaign, Illinois 61820, appearing for
- the Illinois Independent Telephone Association.

- 1 JUDGE DOLAN: All right. No other appearances
- for the record? All right, I guess I will start
- 3 with we have a bunch of petitions to intervene
- 4 and I just want to make sure that we have all the
- 5 parties listed.
- 6 So I have a petition to intervene from
- 7 AT&T, I have a petition from the Illinois
- 8 Independent Telephone Association, I have a
- 9 petition from Cimco Communications, from Sage
- 10 Telecom, from Talk america, from Z-Tel
- 11 Communications, incorporated, from MCI WorldCom
- 12 and that's all I have at this point.
- 13 Has anybody else have filed a petition
- 14 to intervene that I have not addressed? All of
- those petitions to intervene will be granted.
- 16 And then we have a rebuttal notice of Cimco
- 17 Communications, which is -- or was filed timely,
- 18 so we will proceed with this docket.
- 19 A discussion has taken place concerning
- the schedule for this, and I think all parties
- are in agreement of the proposal from staff.
- MR. FOSCO: Your Honor, would you like staff to

- 1 read that proposal into the record?
- JUDGE DOLAN: Yes, please.
- 3 MR. FOSCO: The initiating order already
- 4 provides for any CLEC seeking a finding of
- 5 impairment to file their direct testimony by
- 6 October 20th. Everyone's agreed that all other
- 7 parties would file rebuttal testimony on November
- 8 3, 2003. All parties having any surrebuttal
- 9 testimony would file that on November 6th. We
- 10 would have hearings, starting on Friday, November
- 11 7. If needed those hearings would continue until
- Monday, November 10th.
- 13 All parties would file simultaneous
- initial briefs on November 17. The HEPO would be
- issued on November 24th. All parties would then
- file simultaneous briefs on exceptions by
- 17 December 1st, with an estimated date for issuance
- of the post exceptions order on December 8th,
- 19 allowing the Commission to enter an order and if
- 20 needed file a petition with the FCC by the
- deadline of December 31st.
- 22 JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. And all parties are in

- 1 agreement with this schedule?
- 2 MR. ORTLIEB: SBC, your Honor, does agree with
- 3 that schedule.
- 4 MR. MOORE: Cimco agrees with the schedule.
- 5 MR. TOWNSLEY: Yes, your Honor.
- 6 MS. HAMILL: Yes.
- 7 MR. FOSCO: I know we have a couple of things
- 8 to address, but one of the discussions that
- 9 occurred off the record was staff thought the
- 10 rebuttal notice that was filed possibly could use
- 11 clarification as to whether it applied just to
- 12 SBC or to other ILEC's within LATA 358.
- I don't know if counsel is prepared to
- 14 clarify on the record today or if not maybe they
- 15 could file something shortly to just clarify that
- it's only with respect to SBC or if it's not,
- 17 which carriers.
- 18 MR. ROWLAND: If you would like us to follow
- 19 this up with a letter, we could certainly do
- 20 that.
- JUDGE DOLAN: I was actually going to say,
- 22 Mr. Moore, I hate to put you on the spot but

- 1 would you be prepared to reiterate what you said
- 2 off the record?
- 3 MR. ROWLAND: It doesn't quite address Carmen's
- 4 point, which was which customers, right now we
- 5 need to check with our client to make sure there
- 6 are no other carriers that they are surveying.
- 7 But your question was more toward the nature of
- 8 the request itself.
- 9 JUDGE DOLAN: Exactly.
- MR. MOORE: Cimco is alleging that it will be
- impaired for a set period of time during which it
- needs to use that time to obtain its own
- switching, train personnel to run it or to obtain
- switching from another carrier, and then to make
- the transition from SBC switches over to those
- other switches, either Cimco's or some other
- 17 carriers.
- Or all that failing, have it converted
- 19 back to resale. But in any event, whatever
- occurs, that timing will take, I believe, longer
- than 90 days from the date of the Commission
- 22 order and so Cimco is alleging that pursuant to

- 1 Paragraph 91 and 456 of the FCC's Triennial
- 2 Review Order that there will be operational and
- 3 economic factors which will impair Cimco for a
- 4 set period of time.
- 5 And we still need to confirm with our
- 6 client what that period of time will be. And at
- 7 the very latest we will be doing that in our
- 8 October 20th testimony. And I hope to have that
- 9 answer pretty quickly to SBC so we can talk about
- 10 this.
- But we will be requesting that the
- 12 Commission then file a request waiver with the
- 13 FCC, that there is impairment for a set period of
- 14 time.
- JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. So you should be in a
- 16 position, like you indicated, at least no later
- than with your direct testimony you'll have an
- idea of what that time frame should be?
- 19 MR. MOORE: That's right.
- MR. FOSCO: Your Honor, going back to staff's
- 21 question, though, we don't care if it's in a
- letter or a pleading, but if there are other

- 1 carriers that are subject to Cimco's petition
- 2 here, we think that they should be given notice,
- 3 somehow, to know that their rights are at stake
- 4 and they can have an opportunity to appear. So I
- 5 quess I would ask we set a date certain for them
- 6 to file a letter or a pleading, whatever it may
- 7 be.
- 8 MR. ROWLAND: We can get you that by tomorrow.
- 9 JUDGE DOLAN: And then just if you would,
- 10 obviously, serve me a copy of it, too, so then we
- 11 can put everybody on notice through -- well,
- 12 you'll put notice in the service list that's
- 13 provided with the case anyway.
- 14 And then the other discussion that took
- place off of the record is that any discovery
- 16 responses will be within seven days of receipt of
- any discovery. And then we needed to discuss the
- 18 protective orders, was that --
- 19 MS. HAMILL: I can give a little speech on the
- 20 record, if you would like.
- JUDGE DOLAN: Sure.
- MS. HAMILL: Your Honor, Cheryl Hamill on

- 1 behalf of AT&T. We are trying for the sake of
- 2 simplicity to use the same protective order in
- 3 all four of the impairment cases, 03-0593, 4, 5
- 4 and 6. And right now there are a couple of
- 5 drafts out there that parties are commenting on
- 6 and people are doing some checking with their
- 7 companies to see what's acceptable and what's
- 8 not.
- 9 We have committed to Examiner Wallace in
- 03-0595 and to Judge Gilbert in 03-0596 that we
- 11 would notify them by close of business on
- 12 Tuesday, October 14th, whether we have or have
- 13 not reached agreement on a protective order. And
- we would be happy to notify your Honor as well.
- Because if we haven't reached agreement we will
- 16 need some sort of ruling, and if we have then we
- won't need to worry about it any longer.
- JUDGE DOLAN: Okay, thank you. Is there any
- 19 other matters that we need to discuss then on the
- 20 record?
- 21 MR. HARVEY: Nothing from staff.
- MS. HAMILL: Nothing, your Honor.

1	MR. MOORE: No.
2	JUDGE DOLAN: Okay, well, then we will enter
3	and continue this matter.
4	(Whereupon the above-entitled
5	matter was continued to November 7th,
6	2003 at 10:00 a.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	